	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10	0/018,448	DRIEU ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	E	xaminer	Art Unit
	G	ollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1615
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D.		(3)	
(2) <u>Pamela Ball/Brian Morrill</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2006	•	Time:	
	☐ Applicant's No	s representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: claims on record, claims 1, 27 and 28 in paricular Prior art documents discussed:			
- DeatH			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL	. NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	ation. The ex separate reco	caminer will provide a writtened of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Ap	plicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated the allowability of claims drawn to Ginkgolide B; the attorney Brian Morrill agreed to this suggestion. Claim 27 drawn to an extract to be canceled. Since Claim 1 recites effective amounts, claim 28 which recites 'Ginkgolide B in an effective amount to be canceled. These changes will be made by an examiner's amendment. The attorney pointed out to Table I in the specification(pages 20-21) which shows the nature of the compounds recited in the dependent claims with abbreviated names (TRADD for example)..